

Structural Design in an Adaptive Reuse Project

A look at the structure behind 246 West 17th Street New York, NY

Presented by: Alissa Popovich

Faculty Consultant: Dr. Ali Memari

The Department of Architectural Engineering at The Pennsylvania State University April 13, 2009





Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Structural Depth Study New York, NY Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Structural Design in an Adaptive Reuse Project

A look at the structure behind Proposal & Design Goals 246 West 17th Street

> Presented by: Alissa Popovich

Faculty Consultant: Dr. Ali Memari





Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Project Introduction

Location 236 West 17th Street, New York, NY Occupancy Type Residential (34 Condominium Units)

Owner Anthony Leichter Structural Engineers Robert Silman Associates Architect Rawlings Architects

Building Height 131.0' feet (10 stories) Building Area 54,000 square feet Construction Cost \$16.5 million





Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Existing Conditions

Adaptive Reuse + New Construction

The building was once a 3-story brick garage from 1925

Transfer Level \longrightarrow

Historic Steel and Masonry -





Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Existing Conditions

Historic Structure

- Steel framing (30ksi)
- Mass masonry exterior bearing walls
- 8" draped-mesh slab system (860psi)
- Typical bay size: 20'-8" x 35'-6"





Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Existing Conditions

Historic Structure

- Steel framing (30ksi)
- Mass masonry exterior bearing walls
- 8" draped-mesh slab system (860psi)
- Typical bay size: 20'-8" x 35'-6"

Current Structure

- 8" two-way flat-plate concrete slab system
- Circular and rectangular gravity columns
- 2 (10") concrete shear walls in each direction
- Foundation consists of 3'-10" thick mat slab and spread footings





Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Proposal & Design Goals

| Problem Statement | The seven stories of concrete structure added atop the historic steel and masonry structure contribute an extensive amount of weight to the building. |
|----------------------|---|
| Proposed Solution | Redesign the concrete addition as a steel to decrease the weight on the historic system. |
| Design Goals | More efficient reinforcing of historic members at transfer level Decrease size and/or depth of foundation |
| | • Incorporate historic steel members into lateral system |





Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Structural Depth Study

| Current Loads | Based on the requirements within the New York City Building Code (NYCBC) |
|---------------------------|---|
| Proposed Gravity Loads | Live loads based on ASCE7-05 minimum distributed load requirements Dead loads based on requirements within ASCE7-05 and known values of material weights |
| Proposed Lateral Loads | Wind and seismic loads determined in RAM Structural System in accordance ASCE7-05 |





Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Structural Depth Study

Computer Modeling using RAM SS

- All diaphragms modeled as rigid
- Assumed adequate connections and load transfer between new and historic structure
- Assumed that all columns be braced at floor levels
- Masonry modeled with cracked section property of 0.6
- Story forces placed at 5% eccentricity to account for accidental torsion
- Historic steel modeled using conservative and comparable modern sections based on weight, depth, and stiffness







Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Structural Depth Study

Gravity System Design

• Frame layout based on existing column grid to minimize effects on interior architecture





Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Structural Depth Study

Gravity System Design

- Frame layout based on existing column grid to minimize effects on interior architecture
- Slab and deck selection based on span and fireproofing requirements:
 - 6" lightweight concrete slab with
 - 3" Lok-Floor composite deck s
- Steel sized in accordance with the *AISC Manual of Steel Construction* LRFD design methods
 - Composite design of beams and girders found to be more efficient





Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Structural Depth Study

Member Reinforcement

At the 3rd floor transfer level:

• SIX girders to be reinforced in existing design

| / | \frown |
|---|------------------|
| (| 1.1 |
| | 1.0° 19-8° |
|] | wit281 |
| | W14x38 |
| | W14x38 |
| | <u>W14x38</u> |
| | W14x38 |
| | <u>W14x38</u> |
| | W14x43 |
| | W14 |
| | <u>W14x38</u> |
| | W14x38 |
| | W14x38 |
| | W14x38 |
| | W12x26 |
| | W10x22 W12x30 |
| | |





Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Structural Depth Study

Member Reinforcement

At the 3rd floor transfer level:

• SIX girders to be reinforced in existing design

- Only FOUR to be reinforced in proposed design Reinforcement designed using plastic analysis
 - Old design: (2)W27x194 New design: (2)W24x176







Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Structural Depth Study

Member Reinforcement

Historic column reinforcement:

- 4 columns on each of the first 3 levels
- Frame geometry controlled initial column size Continuous reinforcing required between stories



| | | | | | | | | | ũ | 5.9 |) | |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------|---------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| (| 2 | | 3 |) (| 4 |) | (| \mathbf{D} | | 6 |) | |
| | / | 20'-8" | | 20'-8* | - | 20'-8* | | , | 19'-8" | 1:0 | | |
| g | | | | - | I | | 6 | <u> </u> | W12x19 | == | |) |
| 30 Gr | | <u>W14x38_30 Gr</u> | 27x178 | <u>W14x38_30 Gr</u> | 30Gr | W14x38_30 Gr | | | W12x26 | | 14:0 | |
| 30 Gr | | W14x38_30 Gr | | W14x38_30 Gr | si | <u>W14x38_30 Gr</u> | | | | | | \sim |
| 30 Gr | 30gr | <u>W14x38_30 Gr</u> | | W14x22 | | W14x38_30 Gr | N27k178 | 30Gr | W12x26 W12x26 | -H | B | (A.9) |
| 30 Gr | | W14x38_30 Gr | li me | W12x26 W14x38_30 Gr | | W14x38_30 Gr | | | W12x26 | 2 | | |
| 30 Gr | | W14x38_30 Gr | | W12x26 | 900 i | <u>W14x38_30 Gr</u> | | | | W18x4: | 20'8" | |
| <u>30Gr</u> | | W14x38 30 Gr | | W14x38_30 Gr | | | - |] | <u>W14x38_30 Gr</u> | -H | (0 |) |
| x38 | | W14x38_30 Gr | | <u>W14x38_30 Gr</u> | | W14x38_30 Gr | 9 | | W14x43 30 Gr | 22 | 4 | |
| 30 Gr(2 | | W14x38_30 Gr | 2) W24x17 | W14x38_30 Gr | | W14x38_30 Gr | 2) W24x17 | | W14x34_30 Gr | i W14x | | ` |
| <u>30 Gr</u> | # ◆ | <u>W14x38_30 Gr</u> | - <u>-</u> | ◆ <u>W14x38_30 Gr</u> | | >W14x38_30 Gr | | ¢ | <u>W14x34_30 Gr</u> | H | 11 14° |) |
| 30 Gr | | W14x38_30 Gr | W28 | <u>W16x57_30 Gr</u> | | W16x57_30 Gr | W28 3 | | <u>W14x34_30 Gr</u> | | |) |
| 30 Gr | | W14x38_30 Gr | | <u>W14x34_30 Gr</u> | Į. | W14x34_30 Gr | | | W14x34_30 Gr | | 13*2 3.4* | |
| 30 Gr | l. | | ł | | ļ | | ļ | | | H | F | |
| 30 Gr 30 Gr | 935 1 1 1 | | ® | | 織 | | ® | | | _== | | |
| | | | | | + - | | | | | | E 5 | |
| | | | F | 3rd Floor Proposed Desig | n | | | | | | | / |



Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Structural Depth Study

Member Reinforcement

Historic column reinforcement:

- 4 columns on each of the first 3 levels
- Frame geometry controlled initial column size Continuous reinforcing required between stories
- Final design: 26" x 26" column (4ksi)







Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Structural Depth Study

Lateral System Design

• Drift limitations:

- · L/600 for historic stories
- · L/400 for modern stories
- 1-1/8" overall deflection at 6th floor level in the E-W direction due to seismic joint
- Chevron braces chosen as lateral force resisting members
 - \cdot 2 frames designed in each direction





Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Structural Depth Study

Lateral System Design

- Design process in RAM SS:
 - Brace, column, and beam design for lateral strength requirements
 - Brace design for lateral deflection limitations
 * Deflection controlled the design
 - · Column design for lateral deflection limitations
 - · Brace, column, and beam check based on
 - lateral strength requirementsBeam check and re-design based gravity
 - requirements
- Seismic drift amplification factor

• C_d = 3.25

| Level | : Total Ht. : Story Ht. | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| BH | : 134.486 : 14.500 | |
| Roof | 119.986 11.167 | |
| 10 | 108.819 11.167 | |
| 9 | 97.652 11.167 | |
| | · 86.485 · 11.167 | |
| 7 | ÷ 75 210 ÷ 11 167 | |
| 6 | 64.151 11.167 | |
| | | |
| 4 | : 41.817 : 11.167 | |
| 3 | 30.65 14.400 | |
| 2 | : 16.25 : 16.250 | |
| 1 | : 0 : 0 | |
| | | |
| DRIFT D | АТА | |
| Y-DIREC | TION (N-S) | |
| | | |
| Level | Total Ht. Story Ht. | • |
| Level BH | Total Ht. Story Ht. 134.486 14.500 | |
| Level BH Roof | Total Ht. Story Ht. 134.486 14.500 119.986 11.167 | • |
| Level BH Roof 10 | Total Ht. Story Ht. 134.486 14.500 119.986 11.167 108.819 11.167 | • |
| Level BH Roof 10 9 | Total Ht. Story Ht. 134.486 14.500 119.986 11.167 108.819 11.167 97.652 11.167 | |
| Level BH Roof 10 9 8 | Total Ht. Story Ht. 134.486 14.500 119.986 11.167 108.819 11.167 97.652 11.167 86.485 11.167 | • |

DRIFT DATA

X-DIRECTION (E-W)

| 10 | . 108.819 | . 11.16/ |
|----|-----------|-----------|
| 9 | 97.652 | 11.167 |
| 8 | 86.485 | 11.167 |
| 7 | 75.318 | 11.167 |
| 6 | 64.151 | 11.167 |
| 5 | 52.984 | 11.167 |
| 4 | 41.817 | 11.167 |
| 2 | | 4 4 4 0 0 |

 3
 30.65
 14.400

 2
 16.25
 16.250

 1
 0
 0

| | Wind D | rifts [in] | | | S | eismic Drifts [iı | n] | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Tota | l Drift | Story Drift | Allowable Story Drift | | Total Drift | | Story Drift | Allowable Story Drift |
| Load Case | Δ Wind | ∆ Story | h/400, h/600 | Load Case | ∆ Elastic | Δ Amplified | Δ Story | 0.020h _{sy} |
| W1, W2 | 1.869 | 0.230 | 0.44 | E2 | 0.684 | 2.223 | 0.286 | 3.48 |
| W1, W2 | 1.639 | 0.182 | 0.34 | E2 | 0.596 | 1.937 | 0.224 | 2.68 |
| W1, W2 | 1.457 | 0.184 | 0.34 | E2 | 0.527 | 1.713 | 0.228 | 2.68 |
| W1, W2 | 1.273 | 0.181 | 0.34 | E2 | 0.457 | 1.485 | 0.224 | 2.68 |
| W1, W2 | 1.092 | 0.177 | 0.34 | E2 | 0.388 | 1.261 | 0.218 | 2.68 |
| \\\/1\\\/2 | 0.015 | 0 167 | 0.24 | E.2 | 0 2 2 1 | 1 0/2 | 0.205 | 7 60 |
| W1, W2 | 0.748 | 0.161 | 0.34 | E2 | 0.258 | 0.839 | 0.189 | 2.68 |
| VV1, VV2 | 0.507 | 0.175 | | ·····; | 0.200 | 0.050 | 0.1/2 | 2.00 |
| W1, W2 | 0.438 | 0.138 | 0.22 | E2 | 0.147 | 0.478 | 0.156 | 2.68 |
| W1, W2 | 0.300 | 0.156 | 0.29 | E2 | 0.099 | 0.322 | 0.172 | 3.46 |
| W1, W2 | 0.144 | 0.144 | 0.33 | E2 | 0.046 | 0.150 | 0.150 | 3.90 |
| N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | W | 1 = Wind | +X, W2 | = Wind - | -X E2 | 2 = Earthc | quake +X |
| Wind Drifts [in] | | | | | | | | |
| | Wind Di | rifts [in] | | | S | eismic Drifts [ii | n] | |
| Tota | l Drift | rifts [in] Story Drift | Allowable Story Drift | | S Total Drift | eismic Drifts [iı | n] Story Drift | Allowable Story Drift |
| Tota | U Drift | rifts [in] Story Drift Δ Story | Allowable Story Drift | Load Case | S Total Drift Δ Elastic | eismic Drifts [in | n] Story Drift Δ Story | Allowable Story Drift |
| Tota Load Case W3, W4 | Wind D I Drift Δ Wind 1.629 | rifts [in] Story Drift ∆ Story 0.014 | Allowable Story Drift h/400, h/600 0.44 | Load Case E4 | S Total Drift Δ Elastic 1.979 | eismic Drifts [in Δ Amplified 6.412 | n] Story Drift ∆ Story 0.075 | Allowable Story Drift 0.020h _{sx} 3.48 |
| Tota Load Case W3, W4 W3, W4 | Wind D I Drift Δ Wind 1.629 1.615 | rifts [in] Story Drift ∆ Story 0.014 0.215 | Allowable Story Drift h/400, h/600 0.44 0.34 | Load Case E4 E4 | S Total Drift Δ Elastic 1.979 1.956 | eismic Drifts [in Δ Amplified 6.412 6.337 | n] Story Drift Δ Story 0.075 0.862 | Allowable Story Drift 0.020h _{sx} 3.48 2.68 |
| Tota Load Case W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 | Wind D I Drift Δ Wind 1.629 1.615 1.400 | rifts [in] Story Drift △ Story 0.014 0.215 0.223 | Allowable Story Drift h/400, h/600 0.44 0.34 0.34 | Load Case E4 E4 E4 E4 | S Total Drift Δ Elastic 1.979 1.956 1.690 | eismic Drifts [in Δ Amplified 6.412 6.337 5.475 | n] Story Drift △ Story 0.075 0.862 0.891 | Allowable Story Drift 0.020h _{sx} 3.48 2.68 2.68 |
| Tota <u>Load Case</u> W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 | Wind D I Drift Δ Wind 1.615 1.615 1.400 1.177 | rifts [in] Story Drift △ Story 0.014 0.215 0.223 0.214 | Allowable Story Drift h/400, h/600 0.44 0.34 0.34 0.34 | Load Case E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 | S Total Drift Δ Elastic 1.979 1.956 1.690 1.415 | eismic Drifts [in Δ Amplified 6.412 6.337 5.475 4.585 | n] Story Drift △ Story 0.075 0.862 0.891 0.836 | Allowable Story Drift 0.020h, 3.48 2.68 2.68 2.68 |
| Tota <u>Load Case</u> W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 | Wind D I Drift <u>Δ Wind</u> <u>1.629</u> <u>1.615</u> <u>1.400</u> <u>1.177</u> 0.963 | rifts [in] Story Drift △ Story 0.014 0.215 0.223 0.214 0.206 | Allowable Story Drift h/400, h/600 0.44 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 | Load Case E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 | S Total Drift Δ Elastic 1.979 1.956 1.690 1.415 1.157 | eismic Drifts [in Δ Amplified 6.412 6.337 5.475 4.585 3.749 | n] Story Drift △ Story 0.075 0.862 0.891 0.836 0.810 | Allowable Story Drift 0.020h _{sx} 3.48 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 |
| Tota Load Case W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 | Wind D I Drift Δ Wind 1.615 1.400 1.177 0.963 0.757 | rifts [in] Story Drift △ Story 0.014 0.215 0.223 0.214 0.206 0.202 | Allowable Story Drift h/400, h/600 0.44 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 | Load Case E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 | S Total Drift Δ Elastic 1.979 1.956 1.690 1.415 1.157 0.907 | eismic Drifts [in Δ Amplified 6.412 6.337 5.475 4.585 3.749 2.939 | n] Story Drift △ Story 0.075 0.862 0.891 0.836 0.810 0.797 | Allowable Story Drift 0.020h _{sx} 3.48 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 |
| Tota Load Case W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 | Wind D I Drift Δ Wind 1.615 1.615 1.400 1.177 0.963 0.757 0.555 | rifts [in] Story Drift △ Story 0.014 0.215 0.223 0.214 0.206 0.202 0.193 | Allowable Story Drift h/400, h/600 0.44 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0. | Load Case E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 | S Total Drift Δ Elastic 1.979 1.956 1.690 1.415 1.157 0.907 0.661 | eismic Drifts [in Δ Amplified 6.337 5.475 4.585 3.749 2.939 2.142 | n] Story Drift △ Story 0.075 0.862 0.891 0.836 0.810 0.797 0.755 | Allowable Story Drift 0.020h 3.48 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.6 |
| Tota Load Case W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 | Wind D I Drift <u>Δ Wind</u> <u>1.615</u> <u>1.615</u> <u>1.400</u> <u>1.177</u> <u>0.963</u> <u>0.757</u> <u>0.555</u> <u>0.362</u> | rifts [in] Story Drift △ Story 0.014 0.215 0.223 0.214 0.206 0.202 0.193 0.145 | Allowable Story Drift h/400, h/600 0.44 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0. | Load Case E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 | S Total Drift Δ Elastic 1.979 1.956 1.690 1.415 1.157 0.907 0.661 0.428 | eismic Drifts [in Δ Amplified 6.412 6.337 5.475 4.585 3.749 2.939 2.142 1.387 | n] Story Drift △ Story 0.075 0.862 0.891 0.836 0.810 0.797 0.755 0.687 | Allowable Story Drift 0.020h 3.48 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.6 |
| Tota Load Case W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 W3, W4 | Wind D I Drift <u>Δ Wind</u> <u>1.615</u> <u>1.400</u> <u>1.177</u> <u>0.963</u> <u>0.757</u> <u>0.555</u> <u>0.362</u> <u>0.217</u> | rifts [in] Story Drift △ Story 0.014 0.215 0.223 0.214 0.206 0.202 0.193 0.145 0.177 | Allowable Story Drift h/400, h/600 0.44 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0. | Load Case E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 | S Total Drift Δ Elastic 1.979 1.956 1.690 1.415 1.157 0.907 0.661 0.428 0.216 | eismic Drifts [ii Δ Amplified 6.412 6.337 5.475 4.585 3.749 2.939 2.142 1.387 0.700 | n] Story Drift △ Story 0.075 0.862 0.891 0.836 0.810 0.797 0.755 0.687 0.564 | Allowable Story Drift 0.020h _{sx} 3.48 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.6 |
| Tota Load Case W3, W4 W3, W4 | Wind D I Drift Δ Wind 1.615 1.615 1.400 1.177 0.963 0.757 0.555 0.362 0.217 0.040 | rifts [in] Story Drift △ Story 0.014 0.215 0.223 0.214 0.206 0.202 0.193 0.145 0.177 0.024 | Allowable Story Drift h/400, h/600 0.44 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0. | Load Case E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 | S Total Drift Δ Elastic 1.979 1.956 1.690 1.415 1.157 0.907 0.661 0.428 0.216 0.042 | eismic Drifts [ii △ Amplified 6.337 5.475 4.585 3.749 2.939 2.142 1.387 0.700 0.136 | n] Story Drift △ Story 0.075 0.862 0.891 0.836 0.810 0.797 0.755 0.687 0.564 0.075 | Allowable Story Drift 0.020h _∞ 3.48 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.6 |
| Tota Load Case W3, W4 W3, W4 | Wind D I Drift Δ Wind 1.629 1.615 1.400 1.177 0.963 0.757 0.555 0.362 0.217 0.040 0.016 | rifts [in] Story Drift △ Story 0.014 0.215 0.223 0.214 0.206 0.202 0.193 0.145 0.177 0.024 0.016 | Allowable Story Drift h/400, h/600 0.44 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0. | Load Case E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 | S Total Drift Δ Elastic 1.979 1.956 1.690 1.415 1.157 0.907 0.661 0.428 0.216 0.042 0.019 | eismic Drifts [in Δ Amplified 6.412 6.337 5.475 4.585 3.749 2.939 2.142 1.387 0.700 0.136 0.062 | n] Story Drift △ Story 0.075 0.862 0.891 0.836 0.810 0.797 0.755 0.687 0.564 0.075 0.062 | Allowable Story Drift 0.020h 3.48 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.6 |
| Tota Load Case W3, W4 W3, W4 N/A | Wind D I Drift <u>Δ Wind</u> <u>1.629</u> <u>1.615</u> <u>1.400</u> <u>1.177</u> <u>0.963</u> <u>0.757</u> <u>0.555</u> <u>0.362</u> <u>0.217</u> <u>0.040</u> <u>0.016</u> <u>0</u> | rifts [in] Story Drift △ Story 0.014 0.215 0.223 0.214 0.206 0.202 0.193 0.145 0.177 0.024 0.016 0 | Allowable Story Drift h/400, h/600 0.44 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0. | Load Case E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 | S Total Drift Δ Elastic 1.979 1.956 1.690 1.415 1.157 0.907 0.661 0.428 0.216 0.042 0.019 0 | eismic Drifts [in Δ Amplified 6.412 6.337 5.475 4.585 3.749 2.939 2.142 1.387 0.700 0.136 0.062 0 | n] Story Drift △ Story 0.075 0.862 0.891 0.836 0.810 0.797 0.755 0.687 0.564 0.075 0.062 0 | Allowable Story Drift 0.020h _{sx} 3.48 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.6 |
| Tota Load Case W3, W4 W3, W4 M3, W4 M3, W4 M3, W4 M3, W4 M3, W4 M3, W4 M3, W4 M3, W4 | Wind D I Drift Δ Wind 1.615 1.615 1.400 1.177 0.963 0.757 0.555 0.362 0.217 0.040 0.016 | rifts [in] Story Drift △ Story 0.014 0.215 0.223 0.214 0.206 0.202 0.193 0.145 0.177 0.024 0.016 0 | Allowable Story Drift h/400, h/600 0.44 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0. | Load Case E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 | S Total Drift Δ Elastic 1.979 1.956 1.690 1.415 1.157 0.907 0.661 0.428 0.216 0.042 0.019 0 – W/ipol | eismic Drifts [ii Δ Amplified 6.412 6.337 5.475 4.585 3.749 2.939 2.142 1.387 0.700 0.136 0.062 0 V Ε | n] Story Drift <u>A Story</u> 0.075 0.862 0.891 0.836 0.810 0.797 0.755 0.687 0.564 0.075 0.062 0 1 – Eartho | Allowable Story Drift 0.020h 3.48 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 3.46 3.90 0 |



Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Structural Depth Study

Foundation Impacts

Current design 3'-10" mat slab 3'-10" continuous spread footing

- Proposed design
 - 3' mat slab (10" decrease)
 - 2' continuous spread footing (22" decrease)

Foundation Design Comparison Current Design Proposed Design Difference Mat Slab 5528.7 4956.2 Surface Area [SF] -572.5 Thickness [inches] 36.0 46 -10.0 Concrete Volume [CY] 784.3 550.7 -233.6 Current Design Proposed Design Difference Continuous Footing Surface Area [SF] 501 511 10.0 Thickness [inches] 46 24.0 -22.0 Concrete Volume [CY] 71.1 37.9 -33.2 Total Conc. Volume [CY] 855.3 -266.8 588.5

CURRENT FOUNDATION DE

| | Area = 4956.2 SF Volume = 550.7 CY |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| | |
| olume = 71.1 CY | Area = 511 SF Volume = 37.0 CF |
| | |
| | |



Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Construction Cost Study

Cost Considerations

- Materials
 - Concrete (foundations, structural system)Steel (rebar, reinforcing members)
- Concrete labor
 - Formwork
 - •Placement (concrete, rebar)
 - Finishing
- Steel labor
 - Welding
 - Bolted connections





Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Construction Cost Study

Estimated Savings per System

- Cost values based on relative savings seen in labor and materials associated with the system change
- Total savings amount to 7.6% of overall project cost

| Current (Concrete) System | Proposed (Steel) System | Savings |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Foundation Cost | Foundation Cost | In Foundations |
| \$202,133 | \$140,687 | \$61,446 |
| Superstructure Cost | Superstructure Cost | In Superstructure |
| \$2,423,497 | \$1,235,295 | \$1,188,202 |
| Total Cost | Total Cost | In Total Cost |
| \$16,500,000 | \$15,250,352 | \$1,249,648 |





Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Construction Cost Study

Total Cost Comparison

Overall cost estimates based on relative cost savings







Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions & Recommendations Acknowledgements Questions

Conclusions & Recommendations

| Structural Design | Structural design goals attained: ✓ Historic members utilized in the lateral system ✓ Reduced transfer beam reinforcing member size ✓ Decreased foundation depths |
|----------------------|--|
| Construction Cost | Construction cost findings: ✓ Decreased foundation depth means less excavation and foundation material (cost savings) ✓ Fewer reinforced historic steel members means less associated labor and materials (cost savings) ✓ Overall estimated savings of \$1.25 million (7.6%) |
| Conclusion | Recommend changing the structural system of the addition from concrete to steel |





Presentation Outline

Project Introduction Existing Conditions Proposal & Design Goals Structural Depth Study Construction Cost Study Conclusions Acknowledgements Questions

Acknowledgements

| The Pennsylvania State University, Department of Architectural Engineering | Dr. Ali Memari Prof. Kevin Parfitt Prof. Robert Holland Dr. Louis Geschwindner Mr. Corey Wilkinson |
|--|--|
| Robert Silman Associates | Mr. Eytan Solomon |
| Rawlings Architects | • Ms. Kai Cheung |
| Pandiscio Co | • Mr. Sean Conway |
| Owner | • Mr. Anthony Leichter |

